

## Evaluation of Desho Grass (*Pennisetum Pedicellatum*) Productivity under Different Fertilizer Combinations and Spacing at Gamo Gofa Zone, Ethiopia

Milkias Fanta Heliso<sup>1</sup>, Derrebe Kassa Hibebo<sup>1</sup>, Tessema Tesfaye Atumo<sup>1\*</sup>, Breket Zeleke Tunkala<sup>2</sup> and Mesfin Gambura Dula<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Arbaminch Agricultural Research Center, P.O. Box 2228, Arbaminch, Ethiopia

<sup>2</sup>Southern Agricultural Research Institute (SARI), P.O. Box 06, Hawassa, Ethiopia

\*Corresponding author: [tessema4@gmail.com](mailto:tessema4@gmail.com), <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6347-7058>

Received: January 30, 2019

Accepted: February 17, 2019

**Abstract:** Desho grass is a perennial drought tolerant feed to contribute a lot for dry season feed demand of Ethiopian livestock. A field experiment was conducted at Chano Substation, Southern Ethiopia, to determine dry matter yield of desho grass using factorial combination of five fertilizer rates (0:0, 11.5:43.5, 15:59.5, 20:64 and 25:91.5 kg /ha P:N) and four spacing (plant: row) (25:50, 50:75, 75:100 and 100:125 cm) in RCBD with three replications. Plant height, tiller number and dry matter yield were computed with Least Significant Difference. With increase in P: N rate from 0:0-25:91.5 kg/ha; plant height increased from 61 to 93 cm and tiller number shown an increment from 214.2 to 308.6. The respective increase in tiller number with plant and row spacing from narrower (25; 50cm) to wider (100:125 cm) was 248.5 to 346. Dry matter yield increased from 9.27 to 25.54 ton/ha for the fertilizer rate from control to 20:64 kg/ha. Dry matter yield increased for wider spacing from 25;50 cm to 75;100 cm having the yield of 14 to 26.61 ton/ha. The present experiment suggests that at low lands of Southern Ethiopia the optimum dry matter yield could be obtained at phosphorus and nitrogen rate of 20;64 kg/ ha with 75;100 cm plant and row spacing of Desho grass.

**Keywords:** Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Plant spacing, Row spacing



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

### 1. Introduction

Desho grass (*Pennisetum pedicellatum*) is an indigenous forage species of Ethiopia with different names in different countries of Africa: As annual kyasuwa grass in Nigeria, Barrein in Mauritius and Desho in Ethiopia (EPPO, 2014). In Ethiopia Desho

grass is a perennial plant which was first identified in the Southern region of the country at Chenchu in 1991 and was utilized for soil conservation and animal feed (Welle *et al.*, 2006). The grass is drought tolerant and is used as feed for ruminant animals (FAO, 2010; EPPO, 2014). It has potential to address some of

the challenges of feed scarcity, since it produces high dry matter yields of forage per unit area and ensures a sustained forage supply due to its multi-cut nature (ECOCROP, 2010). Desho grass is suitable for intensive management and performs well at elevations of 1500-2800 masl (Leta *et al.*, 2013). Asmare (2016) suggests that desho grass is both potential feed source and a means of soil conservation in the mixed crop-livestock production systems of Ethiopia.

Desho grass responds well to fertilizer application and could be combined with fodder legumes either in mixtures or in rotational cropping. In short rotation with maize or groundnuts, the grass yields better than traditional forage grasses, especially when fertilized, while the roots and stubble also increase organic matter in soil (Leta *et al.*, 2013). It requires compost or manure of about 1,000 – 4,500 kg/ha and 25-100 kg/ha of urea fertilizer for establishment and maintenance (Danano, 2007).

Crude protein concentrations of desho grass, 9.6% on dry matter basis during early growth 1.6% at the straw stage have been reported at low elevation (Asmare *et al.*, 2016), with lower values at mid elevation (8.6% CP) and high elevation (7.5% CP). The grass is widely used as

green fodder for cattle in different parts of the world (Cisse *et al.*, 2002).

In Ethiopia, factors like shortage of land, shortage of planting materials, poor soil fertility and lack of awareness (Yenesew *et al.*, 2014) limit forage production and there is no predetermined agronomic practice for optimal production of desho grass yet. Therefore the objective of this study was to determine some morphologic traits and dry matter yields of desho grass at different levels of phosphorus and nitrogen fertilizer and plant and row spacing.

## **2. Materials and Methods**

### **2.1 Description of study areas**

The experiment was conducted on the Arba Minch Research Center at Chano Substation (6°2' N, 37°33' E; 1170 meter above sea level), Southern Ethiopia, from September 2014-April 2015. The nearby town of Arba Minch, 10 km from the experimental site, has average rainfall of 1,000 mm per annum and the monthly average minimum and maximum temperatures are 16 and 37°C, respectively.

### **2.2 Soil chemical and physical properties of the study site**

Laboratory analysis for particle size (texture), pH, available phosphorus, total

nitrogen, organic carbon and cation exchange capacity for composite soil (0-30 cm) samples collected from the

experimental site before planting are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Selected physico-chemical properties of the experimental soil at 0-30 cm depth

| Soil Properties                       | Quantity |
|---------------------------------------|----------|
| pH                                    | 6.2      |
| Organic carbon (%)                    | 1.16     |
| Available phosphorus (mg/kg)          | 14.5     |
| Total nitrogen (%)                    | 0.2      |
| Cation exchange capacity (cmol(+)/kg) | 29.5     |
| Texture (Sandy loam)                  |          |
| Sand (%)                              | 64       |
| Silt (%)                              | 21       |
| Clay (%)                              | 15       |

Source: Own laboratory result

### 2.3 Treatments and experimental design

Factorial combinations of 5 fertilizer (phosphorus: nitrogen) rates (0:0, 11.5:43.5, 15:59.5, 20:64 and 25:91.5 kg/ha) x 4 plant spacing (between plants: between rows) (25:50, 50:75, 75: 100 and 100:125 cm) were laid out in a randomized complete block design with 3 replications. Planting was done on 15 September 15 2014 on plots of 3 x 4 m, each plot having 4 rows x 2 m long. The distance between plots was 1 m and that between blocks 1.5 m. Phosphorus fertilizer as di-ammonium phosphate was applied at planting time and N as urea was applied at the 2-leaf stage of establishment. Weeds were controlled with

frequent hand weeding throughout the experiment.

### 2.4 Data collection and analysis

Plant height (cm), tiller number and dry matter (ton/ha) were recorded on 2 central rows. The recorded data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by means separation using the Genstat statistical software (VSN international, 2013).

### 3. Results and Discussion

The main effects of fertilizer rates (P: N combinations), plant spacing and their interaction were highly significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) for plant height, total dry matter yield and tiller number ( $P < 0.05$ ) (Table 2).

Table 2: Analysis of Variance

| Source of Variation       | DF | PH (cm)                | Tiller number        | DMY (ton/ha)          |
|---------------------------|----|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Replication               | 2  | 31.67 <sup>ns</sup>    | 7704 <sup>ns</sup>   | 12.9 <sup>ns</sup>    |
| Fertilizer Rate           | 4  | 1934.52 <sup>***</sup> | 19272 <sup>***</sup> | 675.19 <sup>***</sup> |
| Spacing                   | 3  | 3655.01 <sup>***</sup> | 26737 <sup>***</sup> | 429.86 <sup>***</sup> |
| Fertilizer Rate x Spacing | 12 | 663.3 <sup>***</sup>   | 4896 <sup>*</sup>    | 81.1 <sup>***</sup>   |
| Error                     | 38 | 30.19                  | 2074                 | 6.26                  |
| CV (%)                    |    | 6.7                    | 16                   | 13.1                  |

DF: degree of freedom, PH: plant height, DMY: dry matter yield, CV: coefficient of variation

### 3.1 Plant height

In the absence of fertilizer, plant and row spacing had no effects on plant height ( $P > 0.05$ ) with a mean height of 61.1 cm. When fertilizer was applied plant height tended to increase, with the effect being more pronounced at wider spacings and the highest fertilizer levels (Table 3). The greatest plant height occurred at the highest fertilizer level (P: N) of 25P:91.5N kg/ha and widest spacing of 100:125 cm (128.7 cm).

As to the present experiment, the increase in nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizer application increase plant height of

*Pennisetum glaucum* (El-tilib *et al.*, 2006) and desho grass (Worku *et al.*, 2017). The highest plant height of desho grass at wider spacing and high level of fertilizer in the present research agrees with Shiferaw *et al.* (2011) which grows upright with the potential of reaching 90 to 120 cm based on soil fertility. Plant spacing was not affecting plant height (Tilahun *et al.*, 2017; Worku *et al.*, 2017). That is due to the physiological functioning of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers to involve in growth and development of desho grass. Plant height is an important parameter contributing to yield in forage crops (Tessema *et al.*, 2002).

Table 3: Effect of phosphorus and nitrogen fertilizer and spacing on plant height of desho grass at Chano Substation in 2014

| P:N (kg/ha) | Plant: Row spacing (cm) |                    |                     |                     |
|-------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
|             | 25:50                   | 50:75              | 75:100              | 100:125             |
| 0           | 60.3 <sup>gh</sup>      | 62.0 <sup>gh</sup> | 61.0 <sup>gh</sup>  | 61.0 <sup>gh</sup>  |
| 11.5:43.5   | 74.7 <sup>ef</sup>      | 79.8 <sup>de</sup> | 102.2 <sup>bc</sup> | 101.5 <sup>bc</sup> |
| 15:59.5     | 68.3 <sup>fg</sup>      | 60.2 <sup>gh</sup> | 85.2 <sup>d</sup>   | 98.2 <sup>c</sup>   |
| 20:64       | 58.5 <sup>h</sup>       | 68.0 <sup>fg</sup> | 106.0 <sup>bc</sup> | 109.3 <sup>b</sup>  |
| 25:91.5     | 101.0 <sup>bc</sup>     | 55.2 <sup>h</sup>  | 87.7 <sup>d</sup>   | 128.7 <sup>a</sup>  |
| CV (%)      | 6.7                     |                    |                     |                     |

LSD<sub>0.05</sub>: Fertilizer rate = 4.54; Spacing = 4.06; Interaction = 9.08

Means followed by common letters are not statistically different ( $P>0.05$ ); CV: coefficient of variation, LSD<sub>0.05</sub>: Least significant difference at  $p = 0.05$

### 3.2 Tiller number per plant

In the absence of fertilizer plant and row spacing had no effect on tiller numbers ( $P>0.05$ ) with a mean figure of 214 tillers/plant (Table 4). Application of fertilizer increased the number of tillers with highest numbers (406 and 418 tillers) being recorded at the widest plant: row spacing and the high applications of fertilizer ( $P<0.05$ ).

The plant tiller number was higher in wider plant and row spacing (Tilahun *et al.*, 2017; Worku *et al.*, 2017). The present

result also agrees with (Lakhana *et al.*, 2005; Pathan and Bhilare 2009; Kizima *et al.*, 2014) for the increment of tiller number per plant with increasing level of fertilizers. That may be due to the plant under and above ground resource competition would be lower in wider spacing than narrower which favors the development of more tiller number per plant. Having higher number of tiller advantages the farmer to satisfy the need of planting material. Effective tillers are having leaves and there may add forage feed to livestock (Mushtaque *et al.*, 2010).

Table 4: Effect of phosphorus and nitrogen fertilizer and spacing on tiller number of desho grass at Chano Substation in 2014

| P:N (kg/ha) | Plant: Row Spacing (cm) |                     |                     |                   |
|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
|             | 25:50                   | 50:75               | 75:100              | 100:125           |
| 0           | 215 <sup>ef</sup>       | 215 <sup>ef</sup>   | 216 <sup>ef</sup>   | 211 <sup>f</sup>  |
| 11.5:43.5   | 298 <sup>bcd</sup>      | 294 <sup>bcd</sup>  | 277 <sup>cdef</sup> | 326 <sup>bc</sup> |
| 15:59.5     | 287 <sup>cde</sup>      | 310 <sup>bc</sup>   | 261 <sup>cdef</sup> | 369 <sup>ab</sup> |
| 20:64       | 210 <sup>f</sup>        | 270 <sup>cdef</sup> | 308 <sup>bc</sup>   | 406 <sup>a</sup>  |
| 25:91.5     | 232 <sup>def</sup>      | 299 <sup>bcd</sup>  | 285 <sup>cdef</sup> | 418 <sup>a</sup>  |
| CV (%)      | 16                      |                     |                     |                   |

LSD<sub>0.05</sub>: Fertilizer rate = 37.64; Spacing=33.66; Interaction = 75.27

Means followed by common letters are not statistically different ( $P>0.05$ ); CV: coefficient of variation, LSD<sub>0.05</sub>: Least significant difference at  $p = 0.05$

### 3.3 Dry matter yield

In the absence of fertilizer plant and row spacing had no significant effects on DM yield ( $P>0.05$ ) with mean yield of 9.27 t DM/ha (Table 5). Yields responded to increasing levels of fertilizer with highest yields being recorded at 20P:64N or 25P:91.5N for all spacings ( $P<0.05$ ), while at all fertilizer levels the highest yields were at the 75:100 cm plant: row spacing ( $P<0.05$ ). The highest yield recorded (41.13 t DM/ha) was for the highest fertilizer application and the 75:100 cm spacing.

As to the present experiment, the highest dry matter yield was reported at 150 DAP: 200 Urea kg/ha for desho grass (Worku et al., 2017) and at the nitrogen rate of 90-120 kg/ha for millet (Hegde et al., 2006;

Bhilare et al., 2010). Dry matter yield of 28.35 t/ha was recorded with 100 kg/ha DAP and 50\*50 cm spacing (Tekalign et al., 2017). There was highest total dry matter yield recorded for desho grass at 50\*100 cm spacing (Yenesew et al., 2014) and for napier grass at narrower (50\*40 cm) spacing under intensive management of nitrogen application and irrigation (Sumran et al., 2009) whereas for desho grass at present experiment the dry matter yield of highest ton recorded at 75\*100 cm spacing. The results for dry matter yield indicate that wider spacing produces higher dry matter per clump as has been reported by the Vetiver Information Network (VIN, 1992) when intra-row spacing of 15 and 30 cm were compared. The significant increase in dry matter yield with increase in fertilizer rate was in

agreement with the results reported by Yasin *et al.* (2003) may be due to the additional tillers developed which brought

an increase in leaf formation, leaf elongation and stem development (Crowder and Cheda, 1982).

Table 5: Effect of phosphorus and nitrogen and spacing on dry matter yield (t/ha) of Desho grass at Chano Substation in 2014

| P:N (kg/ha) | Plant: Row Spacing (cm) |                     |                     |                     |                    |
|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
|             | 25:50                   | 50:75               | 75:100              | 100:125             | Mean               |
| 0           | 9.03 <sup>h</sup>       | 9.03 <sup>h</sup>   | 9.73 <sup>h</sup>   | 9.27 <sup>h</sup>   | 9.27 <sup>d</sup>  |
| 11.5:43.5   | 11.97 <sup>gh</sup>     | 9.83 <sup>h</sup>   | 21.97 <sup>de</sup> | 15.33 <sup>fg</sup> | 14.78 <sup>c</sup> |
| 15:59.5     | 17.7 <sup>f</sup>       | 12.2 <sup>gh</sup>  | 28.4 <sup>bc</sup>  | 15.2 <sup>fg</sup>  | 18.38 <sup>b</sup> |
| 20:64       | 13.13 <sup>gh</sup>     | 31.77 <sup>b</sup>  | 31.83 <sup>b</sup>  | 25.43 <sup>cd</sup> | 25.54 <sup>a</sup> |
| 25:91.5     | 18.63 <sup>ef</sup>     | 22.67 <sup>de</sup> | 41.13 <sup>a</sup>  | 26.87 <sup>c</sup>  | 27.33 <sup>a</sup> |
| Mean        | 14.09 <sup>c</sup>      | 17.1 <sup>b</sup>   | 26.61 <sup>a</sup>  | 18.42 <sup>b</sup>  | 19.06              |
| CV (%)      | 13.1                    |                     |                     |                     |                    |

LSD<sub>0.05</sub>: Fertilizer rate =2.07; Spacing=1.85; Interaction = 4.12

Means within a column followed by common letters are not statistically different, CV: coefficient of variation, LSD<sub>0.05</sub>: Least significant difference at p = 0.05

#### 4. Conclusion

This study has shown that the tallest plant height and higher number of tiller recorded at higher level of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizer application with a wider plant and row spacing. The total green forage and dry matter yield increment recorded with increasing level of phosphorus and nitrogen fertilizer rate and wider plant and row spacing for desho grass production at low lands of the study area. At low lands of Arbaminch research center, Gamo Gofa area, the optimum dry matter and green forage yield could be obtained at phosphorus and nitrogen rate of 20:64 kg

ha<sup>-1</sup> with 75:100 cm plant and row spacing. Further study should be conducted on response of fertilizer rate and type to chemical composition and mineral content of different variety of desho grass.

#### Conflict of interest

Authors clearly declare that there is no conflict of interest in publication of this work.

#### Acknowledgement

The authors acknowledge Southern Agricultural Research Institute (SARI) and Arbaminch Agriculture Research Center



for financial and technical support of the experiment.

## References

- Asmare, B., Demeke, S., Tolemariam, T., Tegegne, F., Wamatu, J., Rischkowsky, B. (2016). Evaluation of desho grass (*Pennisetum pedicellatum*) hay as a basal diet for growing local sheep in Ethiopia. Trop Anim Health Prod, 48(4): 801-806. <http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11250-016-1031-8>
- Asmare, B. (2016). Evaluation of the agronomic, utilization, nutritive and feeding value of desho grass (*Pennisetum pedicellatum*). Ph.D. Dissertation, Jimma University, Ethiopia.
- Ayub, M., Athar, N.M., Tahir, M., Ibrahim, M. and Aslam, M.N. (2009). Effect of nitrogen application and harvesting intervals on forage yield and quality of pearl millet (*Pennisetum americanum* L.). Pakistan Journal Life Society Science 7(2):185–189.
- Bhilare, R.L., Pathan, S.H. and Damame, S.V. (2010). Response of forage pearl millet varieties to different nitrogen levels under rain fed condition. Journal of Maharashtra Agricultural University 35(2):304–306.
- Cisse, M., Ly, I., Nianogo, A.J., Sane, I., Sawadogo, J.G., N'Diaye, M., Awad, C. and Fall, Y. (2002). Grazing behavior and milk yield of Senegalese Sahel goat. Small Ruminant Research 43(1):85-95.
- Crowder, L.V. and Chheda, M.R. (1982). Tropical grassland husbandry. Longman, London.
- Danano, D. (2007). Improved grazing land management—Ethiopia. In: Liniger, H. Critchley, W., eds. Where the land is greener. WOCAT, Bern, Switzerland, p. 313–316.
- Ecocrop. (2010). Ecocrop database. FAO. <http://ecocrop.fao.org/ecocrop/srven/home>. (Accessed 02 February 2015)
- Etilib, A.M.A., Hago, T.E.M., Awad Elkarim, A.H. and Ali, S.A.M. (2006). Effect of nitrogen and phosphatic fertilizers on performance of rainfall pearl millet grown on clay loam soil. Arab University Journal of Agricultural Sciences 14(1):195–203.
- EPPO (European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization). (2014). PQR database. Paris, France: European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization. <http://www.eppo.int/DATABASES/pqr/pqr.htm> (accessed on 29 July, 2015).
- Hegde, R., Devaraja, M. and Gumaste, S. (2006). Effect of stage harvesting of seed crop, nitrogen and phosphorus levels on the forage yield and ratoon ability of forage pearl millet



- (*Pennisetum galucum*). Indian Agricultural Research 40(3):232–234.
- Heuzé, V. and Hassoun, P. (2015). Nigeria grass (*Pennisetum pedicellatum*). Feedipedia, a programme by INRA, CIRAD, AFZ and FAO. [www.feedipedia.org/node/396](http://www.feedipedia.org/node/396) (2 February 2015)
- Kizima, J.B., Mtengeti, E.J. and Nchimbi-Msolla, S. (2014). Seed yield and vegetation characteristics of *Cenchrus ciliaris* as influenced by fertilizer levels, row spacing, and cutting height and season. Livestock Research for Rural Development Volume 26, Article#148.
- Lakhana, R.C. Gpute, A.K., Shivran, A.C. and Shivran, P.K. (2005). Role of thiourea in improving the dry matter partitioning yield and quality of pearl millet. Ann. Agric. Res. 26(2):218–223.
- Leta, G., Duncan, A. and Abdena A. (2013). Desho grass (*Pennisetum pedicellatum*) for livestock feed, grazing land and soil and water management on small-scale farms. NBDC Brief 11. ILRI (International Livestock Research Institute), Nairobi, Kenya.
- Mushtaque, M., Ishaque, M., Haji, M.A.A. and Bakhsh, A. (2010). Influence of maturity on morphological characters and biomass of buffel grass. Pakistan Journal of Science 62(2)
- Pathan, S.H. and Bhilare, R.L. (2009). Growth parameters and seed yield of forage pearl millet varieties as influenced by nitrogen levels. Journal of Maharashtra Agricultural University. 34(1):101–102.
- Shahin, M.G., Abdrabou, R.T.H., Abdelmoemn, W.R. and Hamada Maha, M. (2013). Response of growth and forage yield of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) to nitrogen fertilization rates and cutting height. Annals of Agricultural Science 58(2):153–162.
- Shiferaw, A., Puskur, R., Tegegne, A. and Hoekstra, D. (2011). Innovation in forage development: Empirical evidence from Alaba Special District, Southern Ethiopia. Development in Practice 21:1138–1152. DOI: 10.1080/09614524.2011.591186
- Sumran, W., Lorwilai, P. and Arkaseang, C. (2009). Effects of plant spacing on yields and nutritive values of napier grass (*Pennisetum purpureum* Schum.) under intensive management of nitrogen fertilizer and irrigation. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition 8(8):1240-1243.
- Tekalegn, Y., Solomon, M., Edao, S. and Fromsa, I. (2017). Desho Grass (*Pennisetum pedicellatum*) Lines

- Evaluation for Herbage Yield and Quality under Irrigation at Wondogenet. American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci., 17 (5): 427-431, 2017 ISSN 1818-6769 © IDOSI Publications, 2017 DOI: 10.5829/idosi.aejaes.2017.427.431
- Tessema, Z., Baars, R. and Alemu, Y. (2002). Effect of plant height at cutting, source and level of fertilizer on yield and nutritional quality of Napier grass (*Pennisetum purpureum* (L.) Schumacher). Afr. J. Rang. Forag. Sci. 19, 123-128.
- Tilahun, G., Asmare, B. and Mekuriaw, Y. (2017). Effects of harvesting age and spacing on plant characteristics, chemical composition and yield of desho grass (*Pennisetum pedicellatum* Trin.) in the highlands of Ethiopia. Tropical Grasslands-Forrajes Tropicales (2017) Vol. 5(2):77–84 DOI: 10.17138/TGFT(5)77-84
- Tiwana, U.S., Puri, K.P. and Singh, S. (2003). Fodder yield and quality of multicut pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) as influenced by nitrogen and phosphorus under Punjab conditions. Forage Research 28(4):190–193.
- VIN (Vetiver Information Network). (1992). Vetiver newsletter. #8. World Bank.
- VSN International. (2012). Genstat for Windows. 15th Edn., VSN International Ltd., UK.
- Welle, S., Chantawarangul, K., Nontananandh, S. and Jantawat, S. (2006). Effectiveness of grass strips as barriers against runoff and soil loss in Jijiga area, northern part of Somalia region, Ethiopia. Kasetsart Journal (Natural Science) 40:549–558. <http://goo.gl/sy30kg>.
- Worku, B. Denbela, H. and T/yohanis, B. (2017). Effect of Planting Space and Fertilizer Rate on Productivity of Desho Grass (*Pennisetum pedicellatum*) in Jinka Agricultural Research Center, Southern Ethiopia. International Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry Volume 4 (11), PP 14-19 ISSN 2394-5907 (Print) & ISSN 2394-5915 (Online)
- Yasin, M., Malik, M.A., Nazir, M.S. (2003). Effect of different spatial arrangement on forage yield, yield components and quality of Mott Elephant grass. Pakistan Journal of agronomy 2(1):52-58.
- Yenesew, A., Tesfaye, B. Dindamo, B., Hundessa, F. and Tesfay, T. (2014). Fodder production to improve livestock productivity: Challenges / tradeoffs and potentials: April, 2014, Addis Ababa.