DIVERSITY AND HABITAT ASSOCIATION OF BIRDS OF DEMBIA PLAIN WETLANDS, LAKE TANA, ETHIOPIA

Nega Tassie¹ and Afework Bekele^{2,*}

¹ Department of Biology, Bahir Dar University, PO Box 872, Bahir Dar, Ethiopia
 ² Department of Biology, Addis Ababa University, PO Box 1176, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia
 E-mail: afeworkbekele@hotmail.com

ABSTRACT: An ecological investigation on birds was carried out in Dembia plain wetlands, Lake Tana, Ethiopia covering both wet and dry seasons from August 2006 to April 2007. Out of the 49 randomly selected blocks, 10 were from the farmland, 33 from the modified habitat and 6 from Dirma sites. However, at Angara because of the small size of the island, total count was employed. A total of 193 species of birds grouped in 18 orders and 64 families were identified. The species richness of birds in the four habitats ranged from 29-58 and 34-77 during the wet and dry seasons, respectively. The number of species between seasons showed significant difference (P < 0.01). The lowest diversity was obtained in Dirma (H' = 2.753) and (H' = 2.647) during the wet and dry seasons. Among the four habitats, similarity of bird community was less (SI = 0.10). The highest seasonal community similarity was obtained in the farmland (SI = 75.76%). Seasonally, at each site of the study area, there was no significant difference in species number (modified habitat $\chi^2 = 2.674$, P = 0.102, Dirma $\chi^2 = 0.061$, P = 0.806, Farmland $\chi^2 = 0.011$, P = 0.918 and Angara $\chi^2 = 0.397$, P = 0.529). There was a significant difference in the use of habitats during both seasons, with high preference for farmland and modified habitats. High diversity and species richness of birds of Dembia plain reinforces the urgency to take conservation measures and minimize activities in the area.

Key wirds/phrases: Avifauna, Dembia wetlands, habitat association, species richness

INTRODUCTION

Species diversity of an area or a region is determined by the outcome of many contributing factors Associated with almost every pattern of variation in species diversity are patterns of variation in many different biophysical factors as well as anthropogenic processes that could influence biological diversity (Oindo et al., 2001). Food and habitat quality are identified as primary limiting factors that influence avian population distribution. For the majority of birds, deforestation, firewood, commercial logging, subsistence farming, plantations, and mining are the major causes of threat (Fuentes, 1999). Agricultural intensification can cause decline among the wetland birds (Gregory et al., 2004). Accidental and intentional introduction of exotic waterfowl can have negative ecological impacts on native species (Petrie and Francis, 2003). The great input of solar energy in the tropics leads to high productivity accommodating more species in the tropics than at higher latitudes (Pomeroy, 1992).

The central Ethiopian highland Endemic Bird Area (EBA) accounts for over 75% of the birds in the

region. In this region, eight species are endemic to Ethiopia and 12 are highland biome species restricted to Ethiopia and Eritrea (EWNHS, 1996). The central plateau and its associated wetlands, particularly the Lake Tana area and the Fogera plains are important wintering areas for Palaearctic migrants such as wattled crane, pallid harrier and lesser kestrel. The avifauna of Ethiopia represents a mixture of East and West African, Palaearctic and some endemic components. Diversity in habitat includes deserts, Acacia savannas, wetlands, rift valley lakes, alpine highlands and massifs, each with its own characteristic bird species. Habitats vary greatly in diversity and distribution of birdlife (Williams and Arlott, 1980). The country's diverse habitats contribute to the tremendously diverse avifauna. At present, 69 Important Bird Areas (IBAs) are identified in Ethiopia. These include the already existing protected areas and other additional sites. The birds of Ethiopia are grouped into three biome assemblages. Of these, the Afrotropical Highland Biome assemblage holds about 48 species including seven endemic birds. The Bale Mountains National Park is the richest site for this biome assemblage, representing over 80 per cent of.

^{*} Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

the species. The Somali-Massai Biome assemblage is the richest in species variety harbouring over 97 bird species of which six are endemic, and the Sudan-Guinea Savannah Biome assemblage, though the area is poorly known biologically, holds 16 species of birds. Gambella is the richest area on this biome (EWNHS, 1996).

The present study is carried out to identify the species composition and habitat assocoation of birds in Dembia plain wetlands of Lake Tana. The results of this study are expected to contribute to our understanding of the avaian diversity of the area, so as to help in the process of conservation of the biodiversity in the Lake Tana area.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study area

The study area is located in the Amhara National Regional State (ANRS), north of Lake Tana, Ethiopia, 827 km northwest of Addis Ababa. The Woreda covers an area of 1490 km² and lies between the coordinates 12° 15′ 30″-12° 18′ 00″ N lati⁺ude and 37° 20′ 30″-37° 29′ 00″ E longitude. The identified sites cover an area of 147 ha in the modified habitat, 785 ha at Dirma, 1800 ha at the farmland and 10 ha at the Angara Island (Fig. 1). The study area lies along the northern shore of Lake Tana with altitude ranging from 1700 to 2500 masl. The minimum temperature is 5.9°C, registered in December and the maximum temperature is 27.4°C, registered in February (Fig. 2).

Binoculars, digital camera, GPS, field guides and topographic maps (1:50000) were used during the study period. Boats were used to travel through the lake and the flooded area during the main rainy season.

Methods

A total of 49 blocks, 10 in the farmland, 33 in the modified habitat and 6 in Dirma were identified. As the Angara island is small in size (10 ha), total count method was carried out (Urfi et al.; 2005). At the farmland, 10 blocks were randomly taken each consisting of 1x1 km with a total area of 10 km². Dirma habitat had six blocks each 1x1 km with a total area of 6 km². The distance between blocks ranged from 250 to 300 m. Reverse counting census at the far end of the counting route was adopted at the farmland (Crozier and Gawlik, 2002). In the modified habitat, a total of 33 points with 25 m radius were laid along transects. Points were at a distance of 150-200 m depending on the density of the vegetation and accessibility of the area (Bibby et al., 1992).



Fig. 1. Map of the study area.



Fig. 2. Monthly variation in temperature and rainfall in the study area (1998–2007).

Data collection and analyses

Observation was carried out using naked eye, supplemented with binoculars. Survey of the avian species in the blocks and points of the survey zones were conducted in the morning from 6:00-10:00 a.m. and in the afternoon 3:00-6:00 p.m., when most of the avian species were active under calm weather conditions. Indirect observation of birds using their calls was made in modified habitats (O' Reilly et al., 2006). For some colonial forms, flushing all birds from the colony using loud noise and then counting repeatedly while they were flying was practiced (Pomeroy, 1992). Bird community structure of each habitat was expressed in terms of diversity (Shannon-Wiener Index). Simpson's Similarity Index (SI) was adopted to compare the similarity of different habitat types in terms of avian species diversity. Data obtained during the survey were analyzed using SPSS software. Chi-square test was employed to check the significance of habitats with seasons and the abundance of birds.

RESULTS

Species richness and composition

A total of 193 species of birds grouped under 18 orders and 64 families were recorded (Table 1). Species richness of birds in the four habitats ranged from 29 to 58 during the wet season and from 34 to 77 during the dry season. Among the recorded species, 63 were residents, 11 were African migrants, 30 were northern migrants, six were both African and northern migrants and 83 species were uncategorized ones. The highest number of species (S = 12) was recorded for the family Anatidae and the least (S = 1) was for 22 families, each with a single species. Highest density was obtained in the modified habitat during both wet and dry seasons (Table 2).

Table 1. Species of birds recorded during the wet and dry seasons (* wet season, ♦ dry season, ♥ Endemics, + African Migrants, △ Northern Migrants, ⊥ African and Northern Migrants; species without symbols are , residents).

Family	Common Name	Scientific Name	
Coraciidae	Abyssinian Roller ++	Coracias abyssinica	
Muscicapidae	Abyssinian Slaty flycatcher +	Melaenornis chocolatina	
Anatidae	African Black Duck *	Anas sparsa	
Fringillidae	African Citril 🔶	Serinus citrinelloides	
Cuculidae	African Cuckoo* +	Cuculus gularis	
Anhingidae	African Darter	Anhinga rufa	
Muscicapidae	African Dusky Flycatcher 🔶	Muscicapa adusta	
Esterildidae	African Firefinch	Lagonosticta rubricata	
Accipitridae	African Fish Eagle *	Haliaeetus vocifer	
Oriolidae	African Golden Oriole *+	Oriolus auratus	
Bucerotidae	African Grey Hornbill	Tockus nasutus	
Pandionidae	African Harrier-Hawk 🔶	Polyboroides typus	

Scientific Name

Family Pandionidae Upupidae Jacanidae Apodidae Muscicapidae Motacillidae Alcedinidae Corvidae Threskiornithida Rallidae Charadriidae Accipitridae Apodidae Ploceidae Platysteiridae Picidae Nectarinidae Otididae Capitonidae Columbidae Phoeniculidae Ploceidae Gruidae Malaconotidae Oriolidae Ardeidae Ploceidae Strigidae Psittacidae Meropidae Picidae Columbidae Sylvidae Meropidae Sternidae Ardeidae Estrildidae Emberizidae Laridae Pycnonotidae Cisticolidae Gruidae Laniidae Glareolidae Phasianidae Scolopacidae Recurvirostridae Phasianidae Cisticolidae Scolopacidae Capitonidae Musophagidae Anatidae Hirundinidae Recurvirostridae Cuculidae Muscicapidae Corvidae Ploceidae Alaudidae Anatidae Pandionidae Anatidae Anatidae Alcedinidae Threskiornithida Picidae Ardeidae

Common Name African Hawk Eagle ♦ African Hoopoe African Jacana African Palm Swift * African Paradise Monarch + African Pied Wagtail African Pygmy Kingfisher ♦ African Rook African Spoonbill African Water Rail * African Wattled Lapwing + African White Backed Vulture * Alpine Swift Δ **Baglafecht Weaver *** Banded Wattle Eye + Bearded Woodpecker * Beautiful Sunbird Black Bellied Bustard * Black Billed Barbet Black Billed Wood Dove Black Billed Wood Hoopoe + Black Bishop * Black Crowned Crane Black Crowned Tchagra + Black Headed Forest Oriole + ¥ Black Headed Heron Black headed Weaver' Black kite Δ Black winged Love Bird ♥ Blue Breasted Bee eater * Brown backed woodpecker * Bruce's Green Pigeon Buff-Bellied Warbler Carmine Bee eater Caspian Tern ♦⊥ Cattle Egret + Chestnut Breasted Black Finch * Cinnamon Breasted Rock Bunting * Common Blockheaded Gull + Common Bulbul Common Camaroptera Common Crane Δ Common Fiscal Δ Common Pratincole $\blacklozenge \Delta$ Common Quail ++ Common Sandpiper Δ Common Stilt Crested Francolin * Croaking Cisticola * Curlew Sandpiper Δ Double Toothed Barbet Eastern Grey Plantain Eater Egyptian Goose Ethiopian Swallow Eurasian Avocet ♦ ⊥ Eurasian Cuckoo * Δ Eurasian Reed Warbler Δ Fan-tailed Raven Fawn Breasted Waxbill Flappet Lark Fulvous Whistling Duck * Gabar Goshawk + Gadwall ♦ ∆ Garganey $\blacklozenge \Delta$ Giant Kingfisher Glossy Ibis ⊥ Golden Tailed Woodpecker * Goliath Heron

Hieraatus spilogaster Upopa africana Actophilornis africanus Cypsiurus parous Terpsiphone virids Motacilla aguimp Ispidina picta Corvus capensis Platalea alba Rallus caerulescens Vanellus senegallus Gyps africanus Tachymarptis melba Ploceus baglafecht Platysteira cyanea Dendropicos namaquus Cinnyris pulchellus Eupodotis melanogaster Lybius guifsobalito Turtur abyssinicus Phoeniculus somaliensis Euplectes gierowii Balearica pavonina Tchagra senegala Oriolus monacha Ardea melanocephala Ploceus melanocephalus Milvus migrans Agapornis taranta Merops variegatus Picoides obsoletus Treron waalia Phyllolais pulchella Merops nubicus Sterna caspia Bubulcus ibis Nigrita bicolor Emberiza tahapisi Larus ridibundus Pycnonotus barbatus Camaroptera rachyuran Grus grus Lanius collaris Glareola pratincola Coturnix coturnix Actitis hypoleucos Himantopus himantopus Francolinus sephaena Cisticola natalensis Calidris ferruginea Lybius bidentatus Crinifer zonurus Alopochen aegyptiacus Hirundo aethopica Recurvirostra avosetta Cuculus canorus Acrocephalus scirpaceus Corvus rhipidurus Estrilda paludicola Mirafra rufocinnamomea Dendrocygna bicolor Micronosus gabar Anas strepera Anas querquedula Megaceryle maximus Plegadis falcinellus Campethera abingoni Ardea goliath

SINET: Ethiop. J. Sci., 31(1), 2008

R

Family
Laridae
Phalacrocoracid
Laniidae Pelecanidae
Sturnidae
Nèctarinidae
Scolopacidae
Phoeniculidae
Scolopacidae
Platysteiridae.
Laridae
Alcedinidae Passeridae
· Ardeidae
Falconidae
Picidae
Sternidae
Threskiornithida
Scopidae
Numididae
Laridae Mussicapidae
Muscicapidae Aegypiidae
Nectarinidae
Muscicapidae
Cuculidae
Anatidae
Columbidae
Falconidae
Meropidae Ardeidae
Scolopacidae
Sternidae
Ploceidae
Accipitridae
Phalacrocoracid
Alcedinidae
Scolopacidae
Nectarinidae Columbidae
Muscicapidae
Anatidae
Anatidae
Picidae
Apodidae
Turdidae
Pandionidae Ploceidae
Corvidae
Alcedinidae
Motacillidae
Muscicapidae
Viduidae
Pelecanidae
Ardeidae
Esterildidae Sturnidae
Esterildidae
Columbidae
Sturnidae
Columbidae
Charadriidae
Sturnidae
Muscicapidae Scolopacidae
Threskiornithida
Nectarinidae
Pandionidag
•

Common Name Great Black Headed Gull ♦ Δ Great Cormorants Great Grey Shrike ∆ Great White Pelican Greater Blue Eared Glossy Starling Green Headed Sunbird * Green Sand Piper * Green Wood Hoopoe + Greenshank Δ Grey Headed Batis + Grey Headed Gull * Grey Headed Kingfisher + + Grey Headed Sparrow Grey Heron Δ Grey Kestrel * Grey Woodpecker Gull Billed Tern * △ Hadada ibis Hammerkop * Helmented Guineafowl + Herring Gull & Heuglin's Wheateater . Hooded Vulture * Hunter's Sunbird Isabelline Wheat-eater $\blacklozenge \Delta$ Klaa's Cuckoo Knob Billed Duck Laughing Dove Lesser Kestrel $\blacklozenge \Delta$ Little Bee-eater Little Egret ♦ Little Stint Δ Little Tern + 1 Little Weaver * Long Crested Eagle * Long Tailed Cormorant Malachite Kingfisher Marsh Sandpiper Δ Mouse Colored Sunbird Namaqua Dove ♦ Northern Black Flycatcher Northern Pintáil $\blacklozenge \Delta$ Northern Shovler $\diamond \Delta$ Nubian Woodpecker * Nyanza Swift * Olive Thrush Osprey ⊥ Parasitic Weaver Pied Crow **Pied Kingfisher** Pied Wagtail * ∆ Piec+Wheat-eater ♦ ∆ Pin Tailed Whydah * Pink Backed Pelican Purple Heron **Red Billed Firefinch** Red Billed Oxpecker * Red Cheeked Cordon Bleu Red eyed Dove Red Winged Starling **Ring necked Dove** Ringed Plover * Δ Rueppell's Long Tailed Starling * Rueppell's Robin Chat Ruff Δ Sacred Ibis Scarlet Chested Sunbird Scissor-tailed Kite ++

Larus ichthyaetus Phalacrocorax carbo Lanius excubitor Pelecanus onocrotalus Lamprotornis chalybaeus Nectarinia verticalis Tringa ochropus Phoeniculus purpureus Tringa nebularia Batis orientalis Larus cirrocephalus Halcyon leucocephala Passer griseus Ardea cinerea Falco ardosiaceus Dendropicos geortae Gelocheliden nilotica Bostrychia hagedash Scopus umbretta Numida meleagris Larus argentatus Oenanthe heuglini Necrosyrtes monachus Nectarinia hunteri Oenanthe isabellina Chrysococcyx klaas Sarkidiornis melanotos Streptopelia senegalensis Falco naumanni Merops pusillus Egretta garzetta Calidris minuta Sterna albifrons Ploceus luteolus Lophaetus occipitalis Phalacrocorax africanus Alcedo cristata Tringa stagnatilis Nectarinia veroxii Oena capensis Melaenornis edoljoides Anas acuta Anas clypeata Campethera nubica Apus niansae Turdus olivaceus Pandion haliaetus Anomalospiza imberbis Corvus albus Ceryle rudis Motacilla alba Oenanthe pleschanka Vidua macroura Pelecanus rufescens Ardea purpurea Lagonosticta senegala Buphagus erythrorhynchus Uraeginthus bengalus Streptopelia semitorquata Onychognathus morio Streptopelia capicola Charadrius hiaticula Lamprotornis purpuropterus Cossypha semirufa Philomachus pugnax Threskiornis aethiopicus Chalcomitra senegalensis Chelictinia rioccourii

Scientific Name

Family	Common Name	Scientific Name
Cuculidae	.Senegal Coucal	Centropus senegalensis
Charadriidae	Senegal Lapwing	Vanellus lugubris
Nectarinidae	Shining Sunbird *	Cinnyris habessinicus
Accipitridae	Short Toed Snake Eagle +	Circaetus gallicus
Bucerotidae	Silvery Cheeked Hornbill *	Ceratogymna brevis
Anatidae	Southern Pochard +	Netta erythrophthalma
Collidae	Speckled Mousebird	Colius striatus
Columbidae	Speckled Pigeon	Columba guinea
Ploceidae	Speke's Weaver	Ploceus spekei
Muscicapidae	Spotted Flycatcher *	Muscicapa striata
Scolopacidae	Spotted Sandpiper +	Actitis maculara
Burhinidae	Spotted Thick-knee Δ	Burhinus capensis
Charadriidae	Spur Winged Lapwing	Vanellus spinosus
Anatidae	Spurwinged Goose	Plectropterus gambensis
Ardeidae	Squacco Heron	Ardeola ralloides
	Standard Winged Nightjar * +	Macrodipteryx longipennis
Caprimulgidae Cisticolidae	Stout Cisticola *	Cisticola robustus
		Halcyon chelicuti
Alcedinidae	Striped Kingfisher	•
Cisticolidae	Tawny Flanked Prinia	Prinia subflava Calidris temminckii
Scolopacidae	Temminck's Stint Δ	
Corvidae	Thick Billed Raven * ♥	Corvus crassirostris
Nectariniidae	Variable Sunbird	Nectarinia venustus
Falconidae	Verreaux's Eagle *	Aquila verreauxii
Strigidae	Verreaux's Eagle Owl	Bubo lacteus
	17:11 × 1. m. 1	Contd
Viduidae	Village Indigo Bird	Vidua chalybeata
Sturnidae	Violet Backed Starling +	Cinnyricinclus leucogaster
Threskiornithida	Wattled Ibis * ¥	Bostrychia carunculata
Indicatoridae	Western Green Backed Honey bird *	Prodotiscus insignis
Sturnidae	White Billed Starling ♦ ♥	Onychognathus albirostris
Musophagidae	White Cheeked Turaco +	Tauraco leucotis
Strigidae	White Faced Scops-Owl *	Otus leucotis
Anatidae	White faced Whistling Duck	Dendrocygna viduata
Accipitridae	White Headed Vulture	Aegypius occipitalis
Fringillidae	. White Rumped Serin *	Serinus leucopygius
Apodidae	White Rumped Swift	Apus caffer
Anatidae	Yellow Billed Duck	Anas undulata
Sturnidae	Yellow Billed Oxpecker ♦	Buphagus africanus
Ciconiidae	Yellow Billed Stork *	Mycteria ibis
Ploceidae	Yellow Crowned Bishop *	Euplectes afer
Fringillidae	Yellow Fronted Serin *	Serinus mozambicus
Capitonidae	Yellow Fronted Tinkerbird ♦	Pogoniulus chrysoconus
Ploceidae	Yellow Mantled Widow Bird *	Euplectes macrourus
Motacillidae	Yellow Wagtail Δ	Motacilla flava
Zosteropidae	Yellow White Eye *	Zosterops senegalensis
Cisticolidae *	Zitting Cisticola	Cisticola juncidis

Table 2. Species diversity of birds during wet and dry seasons.

Sites	Season	Species Richness	Abundance	Н	H max	H/H _{Ma}	D′-1Σ	$P_i^2 1/D$
M. Habitat	Wet	58	1874	3.573	4.060	0.880	0.964	27.78
	Dry	77	2057	3.869	4.344	.891	.972	35.71
Dirma	Wet	32	11250	2.753	3.466	.795	.897	9.71
	Dry	34	6215	2.647	3.526	.751	.883	8.55
Farmland	Wet	48	27931	3.404	3.871	.879	.959	24.39
	Dry	47	44255	3.153	3.850	.819	.946	18.52
Angara	Wet	29	830	2.934	3.367	.871	.933	14.93
0	Dry	34	570	3.203	3.526	.908	.948	19.23

H' = Shannon-Wiener Diversity Index, $H_{max} = lnS = ln$ (total number of Species), $H'/H_{Max} =$ Evenness, D = Simpson's Index, D' = Simpson's Index of diversity.

Species similarity

During the wet season, the similarity of bird species observed between the farmland and Dirma (SI = 0.70) was high. However, less similarity was obtained between species of both modified habitat and the farmland, and modified habitat and Dirma (SI = 0.13). Similarity of bird species among the habitats was very low (SI = 0,10) (Fig. 3).



Fig. 3. Species similarity between the four habitats in the study area.

During the dry season, species similarity was high between farmland and Dirma (SI=0.64) followed by modified habitat and Angara (SI=0.43). During both seasons, highest similarity of bird species was obtained at the farmland (SI=75.76%), followed by the modified habitat (SI=59.25%).

Abundance of birds showed a significant change from wet to dry season; an increase from 1,874 to 2,057 and 27,931 to 44,255 in the modified habitat and farmland and a decline from 11,250 to 6,215, and 830 to 570 at Dirma and Angara, respectively. This was statistically significant at the level of 0.001, P = 0.00. There was no significant difference in species number in different habitat types during wet and dry seasons (Modified habitat $\chi^2=2.674$, P=0.102, Dirma χ^2 =0.061, P=0.806, Farmland χ^2 =0.011, P=0.918 and Angara χ^2 = 0.397, P=0.529), however, there were differences in the abundance of individuals in different habitats. There was a significant difference in habitat association of birds (χ^2_{64} =877.518, P<0.01) during the wet season (Table Highest positive association was obtained in a patch of vegetation dominated by fruiting plants.

 Table 3. Habitat association of birds during the wet and dry seasons in the modified habitat.

		Fruit and flower	Trees	Fruits
Chi-square	Wet	186.966	314.759	375.793
-	Dry	399.299	214.974	529.273
df	Wet	23	22	19
	Dry	.24	17	18
Sig.	Wet	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Dry	0.00	0.00	0.00

The dry season data showed a high significant difference compared with the wet season (χ^2_{59} = 1143.55, P < 0.01). Highest positive interaction was obtained in the area where fruits were dominant. The modified habitat with varieties of fruits was favoured by different species of birds. At Dirma, the wet season data showed significant difference compared to the dry season (χ^2_{79} = 235.63, P < 0.01) showing the existence of interaction between birds and the use of croplands. During the dry season, significant difference between the bird species and habitat usage was obtained compared to the wet season (χ^2_{72} = 164.77, P < 0.05) (Table 4). There was more preference of birds to the riverine area than to other habitats.

 Table 4. Habitat association of birds during the wet and dry seasons at Dirma.

		Riverine	Barely	Sorghum	Millet
Chi-square	Wet	46.00	64.750	75.625	49.250
	Dry	56.882	41.765	35.294	30.824
df	Wet	23	17	20	19
	Dry	14	22	18	18
Sig.	Wet	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.000
	Dry	0.000	0.007	0.009	0.03

During the wet season, significant difference was obtained in the farmland compared to the dry season (χ^{2}_{152} = 750.25 P < 0.01), showing the existence of interaction between bird species and usage of farmland (Table 5). During the dry season, significant difference was obtained in the farmland (χ^{2}_{141} = 595.85 P < 0.01). High positive association of birds was obtained in the mudflat associated with grassy area.

Table 5. Habitat association of birds during the wet and dry seasons in the Farmland.

	Season	Mud with grasss	Chickpea	Sorghum	Fenugreek	Vetch
Chi-square	Wet	143.617	128.745	137.213	92.787	93.489
	dry	188.883	64.125	154.500	168.29	174.500
df	Wet	30	27	25	29	30
	Dry	28	38	29	28	29
Sig.	Wet	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Dry	0.00	0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00

DISCUSSION

The study sites have high number of avian species. Diversity may be measured in number of species and can be expressed as an index that incorporates the species richness and evenness of a given community (Plotkin and Muller-Landau, 2002). Thus, species count of birds alone may provide a fairly good prediction of bird species diversity at any time of the year (Austin and Tomoff, 1978). An area is said to be important if it accommodates diversified species and this in turn indicates the heterogeneity of the area (Pomeroy, 1992). Most terrestrial environments undergo seasonal changes in habitat structure and food abundance and these changes are likely to influence diversity (Stephens et al., 2003). During the wet season, the highest index of diversity was recorded from the modified habitat followed by the farmland. This high diversity may be due to the availability of food items and cover. During the dry season, the richest and more diversified sites (modified habitat and the farmland) showed high measure of Shannon-Wiener index. When the availability of favoured food declines, foragers switch to a different food source or forage in different localities (Stephens et al., 2003).

During the wet season, Angara habitat harboured different species because of the presence of flowers, grasses and dense trees. This is in consistent with other studies that showed increase in species diversity with foliage diversity (MacArthur and MacArthur, 1961). However, during the dry season, with a change in vegetation structure, the number of bird species declined as a result of local migration to the neighbouring sites in search of food and cover. These birds migrated to the natural forest and the modified habitat near Angara.

The low number of species recorded at each site might be due to the less conspicuous nature of some species. It is often impossible to list all of the species in a natural community (Krebs, 1999). The species richness in the habitats showed variation, during both seasons. Forests within agriculturally disturbed landscapes have high species richness (Rodewald and Yahner, 2001). In the modified habitat, agricultural activities may provide food (fruits and flowers) for birds. In addition to this, some species such as kingfishers were using the edge of the lake near the modified habitat to fish. Therefore, edge effect also contributed to an increase in the number of species at this site as suggested by Baker *et al.* (2002).

During the wet season, the presence of high diversity of species can be measured as number of and expressed an index species as that incorporates the species richness and evenness of a given community (Plotkin and Muller-Landau, 2002). High community similarity between the farmland and Dirma signifies the presence of water bodies, croplands, and grassy areas in the two sites. This might be related to the increase in food resources that may increase the species composition of the avian community (Crozier and Gawlik, 2002). However, there was less similarity between the modified habitat and Dirma. This is because birds using the modified habitat are mostly forest dwellers and those in Dirma are wetland forms. Low overlap in species between two or more habitats emphasizes that such habitats are dissimilar (Johns, 1991).

The dependence of species habitat relationship on factors ranging from local vegetation structure to landscape features suggests that several processes operate simultaneously at different scales of influence. The present study showed the presence of strong interaction between the habitat type and specific bird species. This indicates that certain habitats are very important for the survival of birds. The presence of fruits, seeds and nectar in different patches attracts different species of birds (MacArthur *et al.*, 1962; Brooke and Birkhead, 1991).

The patches with high foliage serve food and cover for many bird species. This is because most of the insects are in the forested wetlands and uplands associated with flowers and fruits (Jaensch, 1994). During the dry season, the same patch that was dominated by fruits was highly preferred by birds. This is because throughout the year fruits were available in the irrigated modified habitat.

At Dirma where barley, sorghum and millet were cultivated, the wet season data showed preference to sorghum cultivated area. The availability of swamps in the sorghum area, which may provide good habitat for invertebrates, may provide additional food for birds. Some birds such as geese need to feed on weeds and grasses grown in between the crops (Fletcher, 2003; Jaensch, 1994). Availability of alternative food sources may also attract birds to any of the habitats (Brooke and Birkhead, 1991).

During the dry season, the river bank with some riparian vegetation was preferred by birds (Wang and Finch, 2002). This is because the area was marshy and might be suitable for the invertebrate prey (Borghesio, 2004). Piscivorous birds were using the shallow area in order to get fish and worms (Wires and Cuthbert, 2000). However, during this season, there was a decline in bird number. This might be due to the requirement of permanent and healthy coastal marsh habitats. In the farmland, during the wet season, most of the area was flooded to an average depth of 50 cm. The mudflat with grass was relatively favoured by birds than the other croplands of the site as during and after searching for food, birds congregate on the mudflat.

During the dry season, cranes, geese and other wetland species were observed in the croplands, feeding on the seedlings and seeds. This coincides with the finding that farmland birds benefit from the seeds sown and the sowing practice that increases the availability of seeds to feed on. The availability of open water and marshes in the farmland was another factor for the presence of different species of wetland birds. This agrees with Brown *et al.* (2001) that species show a tendency to be confined to the habitat where they get their feeding, perching and nesting sites.

Farmland, where mostly cattle are observed grazing, was frequented by a large number of yellow wagtails, cattle egrets, starlings and crows. This might be due to the presence of cattle dung and ticks on the animals. The preference of birds to feed on short grasses with cattle and sheep increases the number of subsurface invertebrates as a result of the dung and disturbances. On this site, compared to the other species of birds, African spoonbills were few in number because of the destruction of shore plants due to farming activity. Disappearance of invertebrate feeding birds like African spoonbills can be caused by the decrease in the macrophytes and other aquatic plants that serve as food and shelter for the invertebrates (Borghesio, 2004). Reduction of natural marshy habitat and loss of certain habitat components have marked effects on wetland dependent species diversity and number.

At the same time, in the farmland and modified habitats, the diversity of avian species is high. Many bird species were attracted as a result of ample supply of resources. The high anthropogenic effect in these areas has indirectly contributed to the significance in the biodiversity and conservation value of these disturbed habitats. However, this does not mean that modified habitats should be expanded. The original habitat when altered can affect the survival of certain species of birds whereas others might be attracted by the modification of the habitat resulting in different faunal composition.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful for the Frankfurt Zoological Society, World Habitat Society (WHS), Addis Ababa University and the Natural History Museum of Addis Ababa University for financing this work.

REFERENCES

- 1. Austin, G., T. and Tomoff, C.S. (1978). Relative abundance in bird populations. Am. Nat. 112:695-699.
- Baker, J., French, K. and Whelan, R.J. (2002). The edge effect and ecotonal species: Bird communities across a natural edge in southeastern Australia. *Ecology* 83:3048–3059.
- Bibby, C.J., Burgess, N.D. and Hill, D.A. (1992). Bird Census Techniques. Academic Press, Harcourt Brace, London, 239 pp.
- Borghesio, L. (2004). Variation in water bird populations of two freshwater lakes in Marsabit National Park, northern Kenya. *Scopus* 24:1-10.
- Brown, J.D. Robinson, S.K. and Thompson, F.R. (2001). The role of disturbance in the ecology and conservation of birds. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 32:251-276.
- Brooke, M. and Birkhead, T. (1991). The Cambridge Encyclopedia of Ornithology. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 362 pp.
- Crozier, G.E. and Gawlik, D.E. (2002). Avian response to nutrient enrichment in an oligotrophic wetland, the Florida Everglades. *The Condor* 104:631-642.
- EWNHS (Ethiopian Wildlife and Natural History Society) (1996). Important Bird Areas of Ethiopia: a first Inventory. Ethiopian Wildlife and Natural History Society, Addis Ababa, 300 pp.
- Fletcher, R. (2003). Loss of wetlands: How are bird communities affected? BioScience Magazine. American Institute of Biological Sciences, Missoula, 24 pp.
- Fuentes, E.R. (1999). The Role of Restoration in Sustainable Bio-resources Management. Natu. Resour. Forum 23:79-80.
- 11. Gregory, R.D., Noble, D. G. and Custance, J. (2004). The state of play of farmland birds: population trend and conservation status of lowland farmland birds in the United Kingdom. *Ibis* 146:1-13.
- 12. Jaensch, R.P. (1994). An inventory of wetlands of the sub-humid tropics of the Northern Territory. A

report to the Australian Nature Conservation Agency. *Wetl. Arid* 1:105–117.

- Johns, A.D. (1991). Responses of Amazonian rain forest birds to habitat modification. J. Trop. Ecol. 7:417-437.
- Krebs, C. J. (1999). Ecological Methodology. 2nd ed. Addison-Wesley Longman, Menlo Park, California, 1–620.
- MacArthur, R. and MacArthur, J. (1961). On bird species diversity. *Ecology* 42:594–598.
- MacArthur, R., MacArthur, J. and Preer, J. (1962). On bird species diversity II. Prediction of bird census from habitat measurements. Am. Nat. 96:167-174.
- Oindo, B.O., Deby, R.A. and Skidmore, A. (2001). Environmental factors influencing bird species diversity in Kenya. Afr. J. Ecol. 39:295– 302.
- O'Reilly, L., Ogada, D., Palmer, T.M. and Keesing, F. (2006). Effects of fire on bird diversity and abundance in an East African savanna. *Afr. J. Ecol.* 449:165–170.
- Petrie, S.A. and Francis, C.M. (2003). Rapid increase in the Lower Great Lakes population of feral mute swans: a review and a recommendation. *Wildl. Soc. Bull.* 31:407-416.
- Plotkin, J.B. and Muller-Landau, H.C. (2002). Sampling the species composition of a landscape, *Ecology* 83:3344-3356.

- Pomeroy, D. (1992). Counting Birds, A guide to Assessing Numbers, Biomass and Diversity of Afrotropical Birds. African Wildlife Foundation, Nairobi, Kenya. 48pp.
- Rodewald, A.D. and Yahner, R.H. (2001). Influence of landscape composition on avian community structure and associated mechanisms. *Ecology* 82:3493-3504.
- Stephens, P.A., Freckleton, R.P., Watkinson, A.R. and Sutherland, W.J. (2003). Predicting the response of farmland bird populations to changing food supplies. J. Appl. Ecol. 40:970– 983.
- Urfi, A.J., Sen, M., Kalam, A. and Meganathan, T. (2005). Counting birds in India: methodologies and trends. *Current Sci.* 89:1-10.
- 25. Wang, Y. and Finch, D.M. (2002). Consistency of mist netting and point counts in assessing land bird species richness and relative abundance during migration. *The Condor* 104:59-72.
- Williams, J.G.. and Arlott, N. (1980). Collins Field Guide to Birds of East Africa. Harper Collins Publishers, Hong Kong, 376 pp.
- Wires, L.R. and Cuthbert, F.J. (2000). Trends in Caspian Tern numbers and distribution in North America. Water Birds 23:388-402.